

## **Efficacy of Confrontation Technique in the Management of Antisocial Personality Disorder among Adolescents in Owerri Municipal, Imo State, Nigeria**

Hope Ejiochi Mgbeoduru, DR (MRS) C.G. AWUJO

*Emmanuel College Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria*

*Department Of Educational Psychology, Guidance Counselling Psychology*

*University Of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria*

*Corresponding Author: Hope Ejiochi Mgbeoduru*

---

**Abstract:** *This study examined efficacy of confrontation technique in the management of ASPD among adolescents in Owerri municipal, Imo State, Nigeria. It adopted a quasi-experimental design of pre-test, post-test, control group. Three research questions and three hypotheses tested at 0.05 level of significance guided the study. Twenty six subjects of adolescents who were eighteen years formed the sample. Mean, standard derivation, t-test and 2 ways ANOVA were used to analyses the data obtained. The results obtained showed that confrontation technique is efficacious in the management of antisocial personality disorder at post and follow up tests. The results also indicate that male and female adolescents have ASPD and are amenable to change using the psychothepeutic technique of confrontation technique. Based on the findings, the researchers recommend that counselling psychologists should be posted to schools and made teaching subject free to enable them identify and work on adolescents with ASPD and its antecedents of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder and Conduct Disorders.*

**Keywords:** *Antisocial, personality Disorder, Confrontation, technique.*

---

Date of Subissions: 21-08-2018

Date of acceptante: 04-09-2018

---

### **I Introduction**

Instances abound in the manifestation of antisocial personality disorder as a pervasive and persistent disregard for morals, social norms, the rights and feelings of others. Every person's personality is unique. However in some cases, a person's way of thinking and behaving can be destructive both to others and to the person himself or herself. Antisocial personality disorder is a mental health condition in which a person has a long term pattern of manipulating, exploiting or violating the rights of others.

According to Bressert (2016), antisocial personality disorder is characterized by a long standing pattern of disregard for other people's rights, often crossing the line and violating those rights. A person with ASPD often feels little or no empathy toward other people and does not see the problem in bending or breaking the law for their own needs or wants. They tend to be callous, cynical and contemptuous of the feelings, rights and sufferings of others. They may have an inflated and arrogant self-appraisal, for example, feel that ordinary work is beneath them or lack a realistic concern about their current problems or their future and may be excessively opinionated, self-assured or cocky. Antisocial personality disorder as it is in the Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorder (DSM-5, 2012) is diagnosed when a person's pattern of antisocial behaviour has occurred since fifteen years although only adults of eighteen years and above can be diagnosed with this disorder and consists of the majority of these symptoms: exploiting, manipulating or violating the right of others; lack of concern, regret or remorse about other peoples' distress; behaving irresponsibly and showing disregard for normal social behaviour; having difficulty in sustaining long term relationship; being unable to control one's anger; lack of quit or not learning from their mistakes; blaming others for problems in their lives; and repeatedly breaking the law.

Hagan (2010) citing Farrington found out that individuals with antisocial personality disorder often are divorced, abuse alcohol/drugs are anxiety, depressed, unemployed, homelessness and exhibit criminal behaviours. However, some individuals with this disorder rise to high positions of power in society by becoming masters of manipulation and deceit.

In Mayo Clinic (2016), Antisocial Personality Disorder is put in the cluster B of personality disorder and described as disregard for other's needs or feelings, persistent lying, stealing, using aliases, conning others, recurring problems with the law, repeated violation of the rights of others, aggressive, often violent behaviours; disregard for the safety of self and others, impulsive behaviour, consistent irresponsible lack of remorse for behaviour.

The American Psychiatric Association (2000) defines antisocial personality disorder cluster B as: a pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others occurring since age fifteen years as indicated by three or more of the following: failure to conform to social norms with respect to lawful behaviours as indicated by repeatedly performing acts that are grounds for arrest; deception as indicated by repeatedly lying, use of aliases or concerning others for personal profit or pleasure, impulsivity or failure to plan ahead; irritability and aggressiveness as indicated by repeated physical fights or assaults; reckless disregard for safety of self or others; consistent irresponsibility as indicated by repeated failure to sustain consistent work behaviour or honour financial obligations; lack of remorse as indicated by being indifferent to or rationalizing having hurt, mistreated or stolen from another.

According to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2015) antisocial personality disorder is characterized by a diminished capacity for remorse and poor behavioural controls. They are dauntless, venture some, intrepid, bold, audacious, daring, reckless, fools, hardy, impulsive, heedless, and unbalanced by hazard and pursues perilous ventures. These individuals are easily bored and seek activities that will excite them. They could be brutal assaults, murderers, sky diving pranks, doing dares and putting one's life at risk. The violent activities such as murder and assault are not necessarily sadistic in nature but more of a rash or thrill for the perpetrator. They are reckless and bold individuals who have no regard for their own safety or the safety of others. People with antisocial personality disorder exhibit traits of impulsivity, high negative emotionality, low conscientiousness and associated behaviours including irresponsible and exploitative behaviour, recklessness and deceitfulness. Continuing, NICE indicates that people with ASPD present unstable interpersonal relationships, disregard for the consequences of their behaviours, a failure to learn from experience, egocentricity, a disregard for the feelings of others, a wide range of interpersonal and social disturbances, counorbid depression, anxiety, alcohol and drug misuse. The key features of antisocial personality disorder are impairment in the ability to form positive relationship with others and a tendency to engage in behaviours that violate basic social norms and values. People with this disorder are cold and callous, gaining pleasures by compelling with and initiating everyone and any one. They can be cruel and malicious, commit violent criminal offenses against others including assault, murder and rape much more frequently than do people without the disorder. Millon (2000) observes that ASPD often insists on being seen as falters and are dogmatic in their opinions. However, when they need to, people with ASPD can act gracious and cheerful until they get what they want. They then may revert to being brash and arrogant. A prominent characteristic of ASPD is poor control of impulses. People with this disorder have a low tolerance for frustration and often act impetuously with no apparent concern for the consequences of their behaviours. They often take chances and seek thrills with no concern for change. They are easily bored and restless, unable to endure the tedium of routine or to persist at the day – to day responsibilities of marriage or a job. Continuing Millon said that ASPD is characterized by a pervasive pattern of disregard for or violation of the rights of others, an impoverished moral sense or conscience is often apparent as well as a history of crime, legal problems and or impulsive and aggressive behaviour. They tend to drift from one relationship to another or often are in lower status jobs and low level of education. The individuals must be at least eighteen years. In childhood, these individuals usually have oppositional defiant disorder towards parents and teachers which develop into conduct disorder (Delinquency) in adolescence.

In adulthood, individuals with ASPD become more antagonistic. They show an exaggerated sense of self – importance, insensitivity towards the feelings and needs of others and callous exploitation of others. Their increase manipulation, callousness, deceitfulness and hostility repeatedly put them at odds with other people.

Antisocial personalities are irresponsible and impulsive in behaviour, low frustration tolerance. They generally have a callous disregard for the rights, needs and wellbeing of others. They are typically chronic liars and have learned to take rather than earn what they want. They are prone to thrill-seeking and deviant and unconventional behaviours, they often break the law impulsively and without regard for the consequences. They seldom forgo immediate pleasure for future gains and long range goals. They live in the present without realistically considering either past or future. External reality is used for immediate personal gratification. Unable to endure routine or to shoulder responsibility, they frequently change jobs. Antisocial personalities have the ability to put up “a good front” to impress and exploit others, projecting blame onto others for their own socially disapproved behaviour. According to Black (2016) antisocial personality disorder is exhibited through rejection of authority and inability to profit from experience. Antisocial individuals behave as if social regulations do not apply to them. Frequently they have a history of difficulties with educational and law enforcement authorities. Yet, although they often drift into criminal activities, they are not typically calculating professional criminals. Despite the difficulties they get into and the punishment they may receive, they go on behaving as if they will be immune from the consequences of their actions. Black (2016) indicates that antisocial personalities are unable to maintain good interpersonal relationships. Although initially they are able to win the liking and friendship of other people, they are seldom able to keep close friends. Irresponsible and egocentric, they are usually cynical, unsympathetic, ungrateful and remorseless in their dealings. They seemingly cannot understand love in others or give it in return. Continuing Black (2016) said that the antisocial

personality disordered individuals are unconsciously careless, irresponsible and disorderly people, frivolous and undependable. They lack clear life goals and the motivation to pursue them. They are antagonistic, unfriendly that are manifested in irritability and aggressiveness (frequent verbal abuse and inappropriate expressions of anger, carelessness and irresponsibilities that are manifest in callous/lack of empathy (a lack of feelings towards others, cold, contemptuous and inconsiderate, pathological lying, conning/manipulative (uses deceit or cheat others for personal gains, lack of remorse or guilt and suffering of others, failure to accept responsibility for own actions, parasitic lifestyle (exploitative, financial dependence on others), promiscuity (numerous brief, superficial sexual affairs; lack of realistic, long-term goals; impulsivity; irresponsibility (repeated failure to fulfill or honour commitments and obligations), early behaviour problems before age thirteen years, juvenile delinquency (criminal behavioural problems between the ages of (13-18), many short-term marital relationships (lack of commitment to a long-term relationship), criminal versatility (diversity of criminal offences, whether or not the individual has been arrested or convicted), high risk of divorce, child abuse or neglect, unstable or erratic parenting, and squandering money needed for child care. There are certain social skills that are essential for healthy social functioning. Individuals with antisocial personality disorder lack the essential social skill of respect, responsibility and honesty. They lack co-operation/generosity and kindness and they lack chastity and caution, they lack control of anger.

On the other hand, the American Psychiatric Association (2013) in their own diagnosis identified the following as characteristics of Antisocial Personality Disorder: failure to conform to social norms, deceitfulness, Impulsivity, irritability and aggressiveness, lack of remorse, consistent irresponsibility and reckless disregard for safety and others.

Four of these symptoms fall in the interpersonal realm (failure to conform to social norms, irresponsibility, deceitfulness and indifference to the welfare of others), one in behavioural realm (recklessness), one in the cognitive domain or realm (failure to plan ahead); and finally one in the mood domain (irritability and aggressiveness. (Millon & Davis, 1996). Moffitt and Caspi (2001) Compared childhood risk factors of male and female adolescents portraying childhood onset and adolescent onset antisocial personality behaviour which influences deviant behaviour in individuals. This study showed a male-to-female ratio of 10:1 for those experiencing childhood onset delinquency and 15:1 for adolescent onset delinquency. Moffitt and Caspi (2001) hypothesized that life-course-persistent antisocial behaviour originates early in life, when the difficult behaviour of a high-risk young child is exacerbated by a high risk-social environment. Gender is the single best predictor of criminal behaviour: men commit more crime, and women commit less. This distinction holds throughout history, for all history, for all society, for all groups and for nearly every crime category. The universality of this fact is really tend to take it for granted.

Nowadays, many adolescents engage in behaviours and activities that are criminal and reasons for arrest. There are reports in the facebook, daily newspapers, magazines and daily occurrences within the neighbourhood of adolescents who are manifesting increased violence, disorderliness, drunkenness, disrespect for constituted authorities, abuse of other people's rights, sheer recklessness, arm robbery, harassment, intimidation, truancy, school dropout, kidnapping, raping, killings and many more. It is painful that our young and innocent children, some as young as thirteen years old are involved in the vices. History has it that these individuals at adult age are often divorced, abuse alcohol/drug are anxiety, depressed, unemployed, homelessness, irresponsible parenting, and citizens and many more. It is believed they could be help through psychotherapeutic processes using the cognitive restructuring techniques of confrontation to treat their antisocial personality disorder at the post-follow up stages of treatment.

In the view of Nwankwo (1995) confrontation as a cognitive restructuring technique does not mean finding faults or picking quarrels with somebody and telling him the worst of his life. In this sense, it is destructive. In behaviour modification, confrontation is a form of constructive feedback usually involved by therapists, and counselling psychologist. Confrontation is designed to "give clients a point of view different from their own so that they can see themselves and their behaviours as others view them. It is useful when clients do not know or care that their behaviours are inappropriate, or are unaware of its consequences. Shertzer and Stone, and Carhuff as cited in Nwankwo (1995) defined confrontation as: telling it like it is, by pointing out the discrepancies between what clients say and do, how they say they are and how they look or by calling attention to the fact that the reality of a given situation is different from the way clients present it. Lipack (2012) indicates that confrontation therapy is used to confront a person on his or her behaviour, attitude and beliefs. The purpose of this technique is to the person to take ownership for their behaviour and to urge them to be honest with themselves and their environment. Confrontation is a means by which the therapist, honestly and without fear or favour, points out to the client the disruptive way he is behaving, the way other people see such behaviour and the client himself, and the need to behave in desirable manner. Confrontation is therefore used to reveal to the client his assets and deficit or strengths and weaknesses with reference to a particular behaviour. It is a form of meaningful, purposeful and constructive tearing down of the client in order to bring about changes in behaviour in the desired direction. Confrontation is a therapeutic technique constituting the act

of facing or being made to face one's own attitude and shortcomings, the way one is perceived, and the consequences of one's behaviour or of causing another to face these things. Confrontation therefore can be used to accelerate or decelerate behaviours of clients in both individual and group therapy. According to Mather, and Goldstein (2001), at times this technique works for people who need someone to make them personally responsible for their lies or other detrimental behaviours. Often in counselling programmes, therapists are taught to be sympathetic, empathic, understanding, and nurture people towards the goal of self-realization of their mental health issues. The confrontational method is really the opposite of what many therapists are taught. In the view of Sawa and Sawa (1988), the confrontational approach usually results in instructing people about what they are doing, telling them how they should be acting to correct the negative behaviour and making constructive judgment about them. It leaves no room for empathy, softness and understanding. This method is useful for people who want someone to be honest with them and tell them about their behaviour and show them that they are not being truthful. Lipack (2012) continued that this method works with individuals who are looking to be confronted so that they can come to terms with the reality of their disruptive lives. In analytic psychotherapy, confrontation is always directed at the clients resistance and defences never against the clients true self. A gentle but precise and well-timed remark can amount to a major confrontation. To be effective, it has to emanate from the therapist's care for the client, from her determination to hold and help him. The personality of the therapist and the strength of her rapport with the client are central factors in a successful confrontation. The resistance should be confronted persistently at the beginning of the treatment until a breakthrough is achieved. To work on the real issues far out weights any residual resistance. The most common defence are confronted one after the other. First, intellectualization, then regressive, helplessness and distancing. Finally the therapist fires a major confrontation. She makes the client see that if he carries on with his defensive moves, he will sabotage the treatment. Although confrontation is a description of what the client is doing and how the other members appear to be responding to it, it is often seen as a reinforcer or a punisher by some clients. In later case, such clients may discontinue with therapeutic services. Therapists are usually advised to be careful and tactful in the use of confrontation. Although no systematic documentation of clinical experimental result of confrontation has been made, practicing counsellors and other clinicians have pointed to its effectiveness in reducing disruptive behaviours in both group and individual counselling settings. Lipack (2012) pointed the principles of confrontation: The therapist gets the client to experience literally what goes on; the client defines with concrete examples what he means with his expressions; the client describes his internal reactions to certain events; changing from exploration to challenge. Confrontation should be a gradual process; when the defences are powerful and have become a personality trait or ego-syntonic, the therapist has to confront them equally powerfully.

In a study of gender differences using cognitive restructuring techniques Asikhia (2014) investigated the effect of CRT on mathematics anxiety among secondary school students in Ogun State. The study adopted a 2x2x3, pre-test, post-test treatment matrix (treatment, gender and study habit). Results of this study revealed a significant effect of the treatment (CRT) on subjects level of anxiety in mathematics CRT was found to be more effective on the treatment groups than the control group. The study also revealed that gender affect students anxiety in mathematics significantly  $p < 0.05$  with male students having more reduction in mathematics anxiety than female students.

Awoke (2011) also carried out a study on the effectiveness of CRT and social decision making on truancy (SDMT) reduction among secondary school adolescents in Afikpo North, Ebonyi State. The findings showed reduction behaviour of treatment groups and the control group with the treatment groups of CRT and SDMT performing better than the control group on truancy reduction.

In view of the prevailing circumstances surrounding the ASPD, the researchers decided to employ one of the cognitive restructuring techniques of confrontation technique in attempting to reduce, manage or curb this menace among adolescents.

The following research questions guided the study:

1. How efficacious is cognitive restructuring technique of confrontation in the management of antisocial personality disorder of adolescents?
2. What difference exists in the ASPD mean scores of the adolescents in confrontation technique and control group based on gender at post test?
3. To what extent do the mean scores on ASPD of adolescents in the confrontation technique and control group differ at follow up test based on gender?

Similarly, the following null hypotheses were formulated to guide to study:

**Ho1:** The efficacy of cognitive restructuring technique of confrontation in the management of antisocial personality disorder of adolescents at post – test is not significant.

**Ho2:** There is no significant ASPD mean score difference among the adolescents in confrontation technique and control group based on gender at post test.

**Ho3:** The follow up mean scores on ASPD of the adolescents in the confrontation technique and control group do not differ significantly based on gender.

## II Methodology

The study used the quasi –experiments pre - test – post - test – control group design comprising of one group – the experimental group of confrontation technique while the control group received a placebo on marriage. This type of design required that the subjects be tested with the same instrument before and after treatment. The researchers determined the effects of treatment by comparing the results of the subjects in the treatment groups with the control group.

The participants in this study consisted of adolescents who were eighteen years in the

Senior Secondary three (SS3). They were given Adolescents Interaction Admission Form in which they indicated their ages, classes and sex. They were further given the Adolescent Antisocial Personality Inventory to respond to. Those who scored fifty percent and above were taken as having antisocial personality disorder. They comprised of thirteen adolescents each in the treatment group and control group making a total of twenty six of ten males and sixteen females.

A researcher developed instrument – Adolescent Antisocial Personality Disorder inventory was used to collect relevant data at pre – post and follow up stages. It was a 49 – item inventory developed from the seven categories of antisocial personality disorder traits. It was scored on a three point format of always, sometimes and rarely. The instrument was validated at the face and content levels by experts in measurement and evaluation and tested with cronbach Alpha at the level of 0.77 for its reliability.

The study was carried out over a period of five weeks. Treatment sessions were held for the experimental group for one hour twice a week for ten sessions. The seven categories of antisocial personality disorder of non-conformity to social norms, deception, aggressiveness and irritability, lack of remorse, impulsivity, consistent irresponsibility and lack of regard for the safety of self and others were treated using confrontation technique. This technique involves giving the subjects a point of view different from their own so that they can see themselves and their behaviours as others view them. It is a way the therapist honestly and without fear or favour points out to the client the disruptive way he is behaving, the way other people see such behaviours and the client himself and the need to behave in desirable manners. So the training sessions focused on series of expository lectures, group discussions and take home assignments. There was deep interaction between the researchers and the participants throughout the treatment period.

The follow-up phase of the experiment was carried out four weeks after treatment using Adolescent Antisocial Personality Disorder Inventory reshuffled to find out the degree of permanence of the treatment gains on the adolescents.

The data obtained in this study were statistically analyzed to determine the effectiveness of the experimental treatment of confrontation technique to manage antisocial personality disorder. Mean statistics and standard deviation were used to answer the research questions while paired t-test was used to test hypothesis 1 and 2 – way ANOVA was used to test hypotheses 2 and 3 at the significant level of 0.05 ( $P < 0.05$ ).

## III Results

Results of statistical analysis of data are presented in the following tables:

**Table 1: Mean, Standard Deviation and paired t-test statistics on the efficacy of confrontation technique in the management of antisocial personality disorder.**

Test	N	Means	SD	Reduced mean	df	t-value	p-value
Pre-test		62.85	2.82				
Post test	13	43.38	1.89	19.46	12	18.58	0.000

In table 1, it is shown that the pre-test and post- test treatment scores of subjects in ASPD scale are 62.85 (Sd2.82) and 43.38 (Sd 1.89) respectively. That means from the pre- test to the post – test, the mean scores of the adolescents in ASPD was reduced by a difference of 19.46. Thus confrontation technique is efficacious in the management of ASPD. To find out the significance of the efficacy of the confrontation technique in the management of ASPD, t – test statistic was employed. This yielded a calculated t- value of 18.58 at df of 12 at 0.000 level of significance ( $p < 0.05$ ). Since the p- value is less than 0.05 the chosen alpha level, the null hypothesis is rejected. Thus confrontation technique had a significant efficacy in the management of ASPD among adolescents.

**Table 2: Mean, standard deviation and 2- way ANOVA on the mean scores difference of adolescents in confrontation technique and control group in the management of ASPD among adolescents at post test.**

Groups	Gender	N	Means	Standard deviation
Confrontation	Female	8	43.50	2.20
	Male	5	43.20	1.48
	Total	13	43.38	1.89
Control	Female	8	64.00	3.46
	Male	5	63.00	2.34
	Total	13	63.62	3.01
Total	Female	16	53.75	10.95
	Male	10	53.10	10.59
	Total	26	53.50	10.60

**Test of between subject effects (2- way ANOVA)**

Source	Type III sum of square	df	Mean sq	F	Sig	Result
Corrected model	2663.700	3	887.900	131.276	0.000	Significant
Intercept	70257.985	1	70257.985	10387.605	0.000	Significant
Groups	2498.600	1	2498.600	369.417	0.000	Significant
Gender	2.600	1	2.600	0.384	0.542	Insignificant
Groups & Gender	0.754	1	0.754	0.111	0.742	Insignificant
Error	148.800	22	6.764			
Total	77231.00	26				
Corrected Total	2812.500	25				

In table 2, mean for Female and Male subject in the confrontation are 43.50 and 43.20 respectively. The standard deviation values are 2.20 and 1.48. For Female and Male in the control group, there mean values are 64.00 and 63.00 respectively. While the standard deviation was 3.46 and 2.34. Their total mean values both for the control group and experimental group is 63.62 and 43.38. Hence, from mean values the mean difference is 20.24. Furthermore, the test between subjects effect show intercept 70257.985 and interaction 2498.600. Calculated F value is 10387.605 for intercept and 369.417 for interaction. Significant values are 0.000 for intercept and 0.000 for interaction. Since significance ( $P=0.000<0.05$ ), the null hypothesis is rejected meaning that there is a significant difference in the mean score among adolescents in confrontation technique group and those in control group based on gender and post test.

**Table 3: Mean, standard deviation and 2- way ANOVA on the mean scores difference of adolescents in confrontation technique and control group in the management of ASPD among adolescents at follow up test.**

Groups	Gender	N	Mean	SD
Confrontation	Female	8	38.75	2.77
	Male	5	37.00	3.54
	Total	13	38.08	3.07
Control	Female	8	62.50	1.77
	Male	5	62.80	3.03
	Total	13	62.62	2.22
Total	Female	16	50.62	12.47
	Male	10	49.90	13.95
	Total	26	50.35	12.78

**Tests of between subjects effects ( 2- way ANOVA)**

Source	Type III Sum Of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig	Result
Corrected Model	3932.585	3	1307.862	177.283	.000	Significant
Intercept	62186.312	1	62186.312	8429.445	.000	Significant
Groups	3777.235	1	3777.235	152.010	.000	Significant
Gender	3.235	1	3.235	.438	.515	Insignificant
Groups & Gender	6.465	1	6.465	.876	.359	Insignificant
Error	162.300	22	7.377			
Total	69989.000	26				
Corrected Total	4085.885	25				

In table 3, mean for the Female and male participants in confrontation group is 38.75 and 37.00 respectively. The sd values are 2.77 and 3.54 respectively. For females and males in the control group their mean values are 62.50 and 62.80 while the sd values are 1.77 and 3.03 respectively. The total mean values for both the experimental group and the control group are 38.08 and 62.62. Hence, from their mean values the mean difference is 24.54. Furthermore, the test of between subjects effects shows intercept 62186.312 and interaction 3777.235. Calculated F values are 62186.312 for intercept and 3777.235 for interaction. Significant values are .000 for intercept and .000 for interaction. Since significant level ( $P=0.000<0.05$ ) the null hypothesis is rejected meaning that there is a significant difference in the mean scores among adolescents in confrontation technique group and those in control group based on gender at follow up test.

#### **IV Discussion**

The findings of the study show that confrontation technique is efficacious in the management of ASPD among adolescents. This is indicated in the reduction in the mean scores of the subjects from pre-test to post-test as 19.46. To confirm the significance of the efficacy of confrontation technique in the management of ASPD, the t-test yielded a p-value less than t-value in which the null hypothesis is rejected to accept that there is a significant difference between the experimental group and the control group. Let us note that confrontation technique has not been used as a singular treatment technique like this but as cognitive restructuring technique. According to Nwamuo (2005), cognitive restructuring is also known as cognitive relabeling. This procedure is based on the assumption that certain maladaptive emotions and behaviours are moderated by unrealistic expectations. It is believed that individuals may learn to rationally restructure their irrational beliefs thereby breaking what may be construed as well as learned but maladaptive sets. When Epoye (2003) investigated the single effect of assertive training and cognitive restructuring technique in the achievement of adolescents self-esteem from divorced homes in Ibadan, the study showed that cognitive restructuring technique (CRT) was superior to assertive training technique (ATT). Awoke (2011) also carried out a study on the effectiveness of cognitive restructuring technique and social decision making on truancy reduction among Secondary School adolescents in Afikpo North, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. The findings showed reduction behaviour of the treatment groups with the females in CRT having higher truancy reduction than the males at both post-test and follow up test. On the other hand, tables 2 and 3 showed that gender has been found to affect behaviour generally and antisocial personality disorder in particular. Males and females are open to ASPD and both are receptive to treatment and change, males seem to have more reduction than females though the differences might be insignificant. This might be as a result of the consciousness in the males that they are more prone to ASPD and other behaviour problems and so may have been more open to treatment.

For this study, the effectiveness of confrontation technique may have resulted from the nature of the confrontation approach which usually results in instructing people about what they are doing, telling them how they should be acting to correct negative behaviour and making constructive judgment about them. This the researchers applied diligently. Adolescents are immature, have incomplete socialization and easily form shabby opinions about life, choices and styles. With close checkmating and confrontation, talking straight and real to them about the bad side of them, change is imminent.

#### **V Conclusion**

The findings of this study have proved that adolescents with ASPD can be restructured instead of discarding them. Hence there is need to build in the traits of ASPD and the management skills in school curriculum to encourage early teaching on them. This will help to curb early onset of ASPD which starts early in children from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder to oppositional defiant disorder to conduct disorder and to antisocial personality disorder.

#### **Recommendations**

From the results of this study, the following recommendations are hereby made:

1. Parents should not overlook traces of maladaptive behaviours in the children no matter how small.
2. There should be counselling psychologists in the schools from primary to higher levels.
3. These counselling psychologists should be subject teaching free to enable them devote more time to dictating students with behavioural and personality disorders.
4. The curricular should be challenging and providing varieties so that adolescents thrilling tendencies can be captured and redirected.
5. Parents should provide enabling environment in which children can develop positive personality.
6. This research work should be carried out at interval to see the permanency of result of treatment and should be extended to other locations for adolescents there.

### References

- [1]. American Psychiatric Association (2000).Diagnostic manual mental disorder (4<sup>th</sup> edition).
- [2]. American Psychiatric Association (2013).Diagnostic manual of mental disorder (5<sup>th</sup> edition).
- [3]. Ashikhia, O.A. (2014). Effect of cognitive restructuring on the reduction of mathematics anxiety among senior secondary school students in Ogun State; Nigeria.International journal of education and research.2 (2).
- [4]. Awoke, N.N. (2011).Effects of cognitive restructuring techniques and social decision making technique in the reduction of truancy among secondary students.Unpublished M.Ed Thesis.Abia State University.
- [5]. Black, D.W. (2016). antisocial personality disorder: Epidemiology clinical manifestations, course and diagnosis <http://www.update.com/hane>. Accessed March 21, 2016.
- [6]. Bressert, S. (2016).Antisocial personality disorder.Psychcentral. Retrieved on feb. 9, 2017 from <https://psychcentral.com/disorders/antisocialpersonality-disorders-symptoms/>
- [7]. Epoye, F.A. (2003). A singles effect of assertive therapy and cognitive restructuring techniques in the enhancement of self-esteem of female adolescents.University of Ibadan: Unpublished M.Ed. thesis.
- [8]. Hagan, F.E. (2010). Introduction to criminology: theories, methods and criminal books.Goggle.com.ng, <https://books.Goggle.com.ng/books?>
- [9]. Lipack, J. (2012). The confrontational method for treating substance abuse.Mental health counselling.PLLC, therapist in village of Garden City, New York.
- [10]. Mather, N. & Goldstein, S. (2001). Learning disability and challenging behaviours.A guide to intervention and classroom management 28 (4) 299-316. Paul H. Brookes. Publishing Co.
- [11]. Mayo Clinic (2016).Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research.
- [12]. Millon, T. (2000).Personality disorder in modern life (2<sup>nd</sup> edition).Hoboken, New Jersey, John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- [13]. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2015).
- [14]. Nwamuo, A.P. (2005). Overview of behavioural principles of learning.In A.P. Nwamuoand A.O. Ekwe (Eds).Application of therapeutic principles of behaviour modification.Owerri: Career Published.
- [15]. Nwankwo, O.C. (1995).Principles and applications of behaviour modification.CAPIIC publishers, Port Harcourt.
- [16]. Sawa, E. L. &Sawa, G.H. (1988).The value of confrontation approach to enduring behaviour modification.The Journal of Social Psychology, 128, (2) <http://dx.doi.org/10180/00224545>. 1988:97113664.

Hope Ejiochi Mgbeoduru "Efficacy of Confrontation Technique in the Management of Antisocial Personality Disorder among Adolescents in Owerri Municipal, Imo State, Nigeria "IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME) , vol. 8, no. 4, 2018, pp. 45-52.